TERRITORY OF GUAM MAGISTRATE CRIMINAL MINUTES



OCT - 6 2005

MARY L.M. MORAN CLERK OF COURT

Courtroom Deputy:

CASE NO.	MJ-05-00038	DATE: Oc	tober 5,	2005	······································
HON. JOAQUIN V. E. MANIBUSAN, JR., Magistrate Judge, Presiding Court Recorder & Courtroom Deputy: Leilani Toves Hernandez Hearing Electronically Recorded: 3:12:22 - 3:17:27 **********************************					
DEFT: ROS	SE MARIE MENO aka ROSE () CUSTODY () BOND (X) P.R.	FEGURGUR	_ ATTY (X)PRE	: JOAQUIN C	. ARRIOLA, JR. ED () FPD (X) CJA APPOINTED
U.S. ATTORN	EY: STEVE CHIAPPETTA		AGENT	:	
U.S. PROBAT	ION: ROBERT CARREON		U.S. MA	ARSHAL: NONE PR	ESENT
PROCEEDINGS: - CHANGE OF PLEA -INITIAL APPEARANCE AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PRETRIAL RELEASE CONDITIONS SHOULD NOT BE REVOKED					
() FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT REVIEWED AND ACCEPTED:, ATTORNEY APPOINTED () DEFENDANT SWORN AND EXAMINED: AGE: SCHOOL COMPLETED: () DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED AND ADVISED OF HER RIGHTS, CHARGES AND PENALTIES () GOV'T SUMMARIZES THE EVIDENCE () GOV'T SUBMITS TO THE PLEA AGREEMENT () COURT QUESTIONS DEFENDANT REGARDING HER PHYSICAL AND MENTAL CONDITION, AND ADVISES DEFENDANT OF THE NATURE AND POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES OF SAID PLEA () DEFENDANT WAIVES READING OF () COMPLAINT () INFORMATION () INDICTMENT () PLEA ENTERED: () NOT GUILTY - TO: DISMISSED ON GOVERNMENT'S MOTION () PLEA AGREEMENT FILED: PLEA: () ACCEPTED () REJECTED () COURT ORDERS PLEA AGREEMENT SEALED () COURT REJECTS PLEA AGREEMENT AND REFERS IT TO: FOR RECOMMENDATION. () TRIAL DATE: at PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO: at PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED TO: at () DEFENDANT TO BE RELEASED ON BOND (see release conditions on page 2) (X) DEFENDANT REMANDED TO THE CUSTODY OF THE U.S. MARSHAL SERVICE FOR () PROCESSING (X) DETENTION					
NOTES:					
Defense stated that the defendant is not prepared to answer to the petition for the violation nor enter a change of plea.					
He also stated that in light of the violation report there are pending cases in the Superior Court of Guam. Defense					
moved for a continuance of the trial date to the first week of November and stated his reasons. Government had no					
objections to the motion.					
Accordingly, the Court concurred with defense counsel and stated that the Ends of Justice would require and					
necessitate a continuance to look into new allegations and violations provided by probation. Trial is continued to					
November 1, 2005 at 10:00 a.m. The Court also stated that based on the reports by probation, the defendant is					
remanded into custody. No objections stated by counsel.					